### Chapter 1  UDHR Recognition of the Child before Birth: Analysis of the Texts

1. A context of inclusiveness
2. Evidence of UN consensus — the child before birth included in human rights protection
3. Refuting “only in the preamble” and “only a Declaration” claims

### Chapter 2  UDHR Recognition of the Child before Birth: The Historical Context

- Inclusive meaning — the child before as well as after birth
- Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1924)
- Nuremberg Trials (1947/8) “...protection of the law was denied to the unborn children...”
- First Draft of the International Covenant (1947) — “from the moment of conception”
- Post-World War II Geneva Conventions
- Fourth Geneva Convention (1949)
- Geneva Protocol II (1977)
- Draft American Declaration of the International Rights and Duties of Man (1948) — “the right to life from the moment of conception”
- World Medical Association Declaration of Geneva (1948) — “the utmost respect for human life from the time of conception”
- American Declaration of the International Rights and Duties of Man (1948)
- International Code of Medical Ethics (1949) — “the importance of preserving human life from the time of conception”
- Draft Declaration on the Rights of the Child (1950) — “even from before birth”
- Draft Declaration on the Rights of the Child (1957)
- UN Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1959) — “legal protection before as well as after birth”
- Draft American Convention on Human Rights (1959) — “protected by law from the moment of conception”
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966) — “to save the life of an unborn child”

### Chapter 3  Fundamentals of the Universal Declaration’s Human Rights Protection

- Legally binding principles
- UDHR recognition of child before birth still pertained in 1959
- Irrevocable nature of Universal Declaration tied to inalienability of human rights
- Universal human rights — natural, inalienable, “a permanent guide”
- Recognition of natural-law rights — clarified through Holocaust experience
- Absolutely no one to be excluded from human rights protection
- Universal Declaration and the fragility of medical ethics
- Moral Relativism — no place in the Universal Declaration
- Natural-law principles declared by the drafters to be universal
- Universal rights — a bulwark against ideological manipulation
- “Never Again!” commitment at the heart of the Universal Declaration
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