

Chapter 1	UDHR Recognition of the Child before Birth: Analysis of the Texts	1
	A context of inclusiveness	1
	Evidence of UN consensus — the child before birth included in human rights protection	2
	Refuting “only in the preamble” and “only a Declaration” claims	3
Chapter 2	UDHR Recognition of the Child before Birth: The Historical Context	7
	Inclusive meaning — the child before as well as after birth	7
	Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1924)	8
	Nuremberg Trials (1947/8) “...protection of the law was denied to the unborn children...”	10
	First Draft of the International Covenant (1947) — “from the moment of conception”	12
	Post-World War II Geneva Conventions	12
	Fourth Geneva Convention (1949)	13
	Geneva Protocol II (1977)	14
	Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948)	14
	Draft American Declaration of the International Rights and Duties of Man (1948) — “.the right to life from the moment of conception”	15
	World Medical Association Declaration of Geneva (1948) — “the utmost respect for human life from the time of conception”	16
	American Declaration of the International Rights and Duties of Man (1948)	16
	International Code of Medical Ethics (1949) — “the importance of preserving human life from the time of conception”	20
	Draft Declaration on the Rights of the Child (1950) — “even from before birth”	21
	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950)	21
	Draft Declaration on the Rights of the Child (1957)	24
	UN Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1959) — “.legal protection before as well as after birth”	24
	Draft American Convention on Human Rights (1959) — “protected by law from the moment of conception”	25
	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966) — “to save the life of an unborn child”	26
	American Convention on Human Rights (1969) — “in general, from the moment of conception”	28
Chapter 3	Fundamentals of the Universal Declaration’s Human Rights Protection	31
	Legally binding principles	31
	UDHR recognition of child before birth still pertained in 1959	32
	Irrevocable nature of Universal Declaration tied to inalienability of human rights	33
	Universal human rights — natural, inalienable, “a permanent guide”	35
	Recognition of natural-law rights — clarified through Holocaust experience	35
	Absolutely no one to be excluded from human rights protection	36
	Universal Declaration and the fragility of medical ethics	37
	Moral Relativism — no place in the Universal Declaration	39
	Natural-law principles declared by the drafters to be universal	39
	Universal rights — a bulwark against ideological manipulation	41
	“Never Again!” commitment at the heart of the Universal Declaration	42

	Inherent dignity and children at risk of abortion	43
	Dehumanizing language cannot legitimize human rights violations	44
	Universal Declaration built on “the inherence view of human rights”	45
Chapter 4	The Inaugural Human Right — To Be Born Free and Equal	47
	Rights of the child exist before birth	47
	Being human confers human rights — not the act of “being born”	48
	Each child existentially unique — the same child before as well as after birth	48
	Children before birth — “human beings without frills”	48
	UDHR Article 1: Reason, conscience and the spirit of brotherhood	49
	Reason and the rules of conscience	50
	Acting in the spirit of brotherhood — “Everyone has duties to the community...”	51
	Current ideological revamping of Article i — invalid	53
	Restoring the word ‘born’ to its true context	55
	The real issue at stake — are rights inherent or are they granted by governments?	56
	Excluding the idea of hereditary slavery	57
	Article 1 “should state the philosophical basis of human rights”	58
	Verdoodt on abortion in the drafting history of the Universal Declaration	59
	Conforming domestic abortion legislation to human rights principles	60
	Misreading post-World War II Declaration with a 21 st century bias	61
Chapter 5	What Is “Appropriate” Legal Protection Before As Well As After Birth?	63
	Non-discriminatory legal protection	63
	Applying the human rights principle — “without distinction of any kind”	64
	Appropriate legal protection — part of “special safeguards” entitlement	64
	Inappropriate legal status — the child before birth an inferior being?	65
	Appropriate legal protection — the right to recognition as a person before the law	66
	Cassin and Roosevelt on juridical personality	66
	Denying legal personality to the child before birth — a “punishment by civil death”	67
	The child before birth entitled to the same legal protection as after birth	68
	Appropriate legal protection — equal before the law and equal protection of the law	69
	Appropriate legal protection — protection of the law against ‘arbitrary interference’	70
	Decriminalization of abortion — incompatible with appropriate legal protection	72
	Legalization of abortion — incompatible with appropriate legal protection	74
	Appropriate legal protection — universality, objectivity and nonselectivity	74
	Appropriate legal protection “...meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare...”	75
	Legalized abortion is “...contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations”	77
	Recognition of human rights prohibits “destruction of any of the rights”	77
	Children at risk of abortion — protected by the rule of law	78
Chapter 6	The Right to Life and to the Necessities of Life	81
	Each individual has the right to physical existence	81

“Everyone has the right to life...”	82
Procured abortion contravenes principles of necessity and proportionality	83
Procured abortion not within recognized exceptions to the right to life	84
“Everyone has the right to... liberty”	84
Unwantedness — attitudinal prejudice — not a reason for abortion	85
Procured abortion — an exercise of ownership over the child in utero	86
“Everyone has the right to... security of person”	87
Socially guaranteed necessities of life for child's survival and development	88
Guarding children against “measures intended to prevent their birth”	89
“...the right to physical integrity from the moment of conception”	90
The right to life and Article 5	91
Legal protection against “...cruel treatment”	92
Legal protection against “... inhuman treatment”	94
Legal protection against “...degrading treatment”	94
Legal protection against “...cruel, inhuman or degrading... punishment”	94
Right to life — the right to the necessities of life for mother and her unborn child	95
Mothers and children at risk of abortion — “entitled to special care and assistance”	96
The right “...to share in scientific advancement and its benefits”	98
Abortion — part of ktenology, the science of killing — not genuine health care	99
A “social and international order” in which the right to life is “fully realized”	101

Chapter 7	Decriminalization — A Treaty Interpretation Manifestly Unreasonable	103
	“In accordance with the Declaration of Human Rights”	103
	UN Declaration principles — “of great and lasting significance”	104
	Reinterpretation of human rights instruments to exclude the child before birth: legally and morally an invalid process	106
	Decriminalization of abortion — “a result... manifestly unreasonable”	107
	Decriminalization — a most inappropriate legal protection for the child at risk of abortion	108
	Convention on the Rights of the Child — “appropriate legal protection”	110
	Attempts to gut “appropriate legal protection” of meaning for the child before birth	111
	Reading “ <i>appropriate legal protection</i> ” for the child as primarily a women's rights statement?	112
	Each State determines for itself what is “appropriate legal protection”?	113
	Human rights not constricted by existing national legislation	113
	Conventions and Declarations on which they are based must be logically compatible	115
	Tracing the path from <i>Declaration</i> to <i>Convention</i>	115
	Pre-natal care and protection — “provided both to him and to his mother”	116
	Applying the general principles of the CRC inclusively	118
	1. Non-discrimination	118
	2. The best interests of the child	119
	3. The child's inherent right to life	120
	Inclusiveness fundamental to all human rights treaties	120

Chapter 8	CRC Legislative History and the Child Before Birth	121
	Strong support for recognition of the rights of the unborn child	121
	Preamble integral to the Convention	121
	Long tradition of human rights protection before as well as after birth	123
	Legislative history supports “before as well as after birth” human rights protection	124
	Protecting liberal abortion laws or protecting the child before birth?	125
	Ideological reinterpretation of human rights	127
	“The Polish contribution”	128
	Child’s right to pre-natal care — inconsistent with legal abortion	130
	Ascertaining “..the form and scope of legal protection of the child before birth”	131
	Examining resistance to legal protection for the child before birth	133
	ICCPR consensus on “when life begins”	135
	No “margin of appreciation” on the form and scope of the child’s right to life	136
	Historical context invalidates Lopatka’s claims	138
 Chapter 9	 Selective Abortion on Grounds of Disability	 141
	Reclaiming the human rights of children with disabilities at risk of abortion	141
	Preambles — negligible or significant?	142
	Ensuring “..appropriate legal protection before as well as after birth”	142
	Selective abortion: discrimination against children — “a serious violation of rights”	143
	Recalling that “... protection of the law was denied to the unborn children...” (Nuremberg)	143
	Decriminalization of abortion — condemned at Nuremberg	144
	Systematic abortion — a crime against humanity	147
	Convention reaffirms that “person” means “every human being”	148
	Human rights not to be subjected to vexatious tests of “personhood”	149
	“Children” with rights to “prenatal care” — not just “foetuses”	150
	No abortion rights in Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities	152
	Exposing attitudes of “stigmatization and rejection”	153
	Decriminalization of abortion — contravenes <i>General Principles of Convention</i>	154
	“..the hidden message..that they should not be born anymore”	155
	Children with disabilities..a right to respect..a right to be different — not a reason for abortion	155
	Aborting children with disabilities — abrogating <i>respect for difference</i>	157
	Selective abortion, “foetal abnormalities” and the non-discrimination principle	157
	Selective abortion — “exclusion on the basis of disability”	158
	Prenatal care on an equal basis with other children	159
	Children “in situations of risk...” and pre-natal testing	160
	State’s obligation “to raise awareness and to foster respect”	163
	“..including those who require more intensive support”	163
	Adequate standard of social protection for children and families	164
	Restoring legal protection for children with disabilities at risk of abortion	165
	Children with disabilities — equal recognition before the law	166
	“..appropriate and effective safeguards to prevent abuse”	167
	Preventative measures against cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment	167

Abortion — an act of violence, a lethal form of abuse	168
Child-focused protective legislation and policies	169
Progressive corruption of human rights language	170
An “excess” of Down Syndrome births?	171
Principle of indivisibility — human rights protection for mother and unborn child	173
Changing discriminatory attitudes among medical professionals	175
The principle of best interests of the child	176
“When human reason begins to rationalize its own exterminatory projects...”	177
Chapter 10 European Convention (1950) and the Unborn Child	179
European Court’s margin of appreciation” incompatible with non-derogable right to life	180
“... no one knows the meaning of ‘everyone’”?	181
“...and I find it frightening” (Dissenting European Court Judge)	183
Denying the right to exist “shocks the conscience of mankind”	184
Historical background to the European Convention	185
Decriminalization of abortion-evidence at Nuremberg for the count of crimes against humanity	186
Merging “Hippocratic ethics and human rights into a single code”	187
States must at all times take positive steps to protect the right to life	188
Legalization of abortion — regulating abortion as a ‘health procedure’	189
Making abortion “a simple and pleasant affair”	190
“...the greatest crime being co-operation in...murder, suicide and abortion”(British Medical Association 1947)	191
“...utmost respect for human life from the time of conception” Declaration of Geneva	192
Historical evidence for consensus on legal protection before birth	192
1. No record of exclusion of the unborn child from human rights protection	193
2. Modelled on the draft Covenant on Civil and Political Rights “as it existed in 1950”	193
3. UDHR recognition of the rights of the child before birth	194
4. Common heritage of the rule of law	195
5. Same parties to the European Convention and UN Declaration on the Rights of the Child	196
6. European Court established to ensure observance of codified UDHR obligations	196
7. Absence of formal reservations regarding the right to life	196
8. “in the light of its object and purpose”	197
A “living documents” approach masks disturbing rupture, not organic growth	198
‘unborn foetus’ or ‘child before birth’?	200
UDHR — the “permanent accession of every human being to the rank of member of human society” (Cassin)	201
The European Court and the limits of authority	202
When conflict develops between universal natural law principles and domestic law	204
Erroneous reasoning by European Court in <i>Tysiack v Poland</i>	206
Right to life overrides “respect for private life”	207
Given enough rope — European Court now entangled in ideological deceptions	208
Growing criticism of the European Court’s abortion decisions	209
Court needs more intellectual integrity, more moral fortitude	211
Chapter 11 American Convention on Human Rights:”...in general,from the moment of conception”	213

“‘person’ means every human being...”	213
Human rights, not “person” rights	215
1981 <i>Baby Boy</i> Resolution — ideologically driven misinterpretation	217
Misconstrual of the drafting history of the 1948 <i>American Declaration</i>	218
Right to life of the unborn — “not discussed or put in doubt by anyone”	218
Errors of historical fact in majority resolution	220
Resolving conflict between <i>Declaration</i> principles and laws in some American States	222
“... including those who are not yet born”	222
<i>Baby Boy</i> Resolution — wrong on question faced by drafters of the <i>Declaration</i>	224
Convention’s drafting history confirms right to life from the moment of conception	225
Negotiating the inclusion of the phrase “from the moment of conception”	225
Getting the Bogota <i>Declaration</i> right — a reaffirmation, not a modification	226
The meaning of “in general” — substantially different or totally different?	227
Keeping the text without change “for reasons of principle”	228
Clearing up two practical issues	228
Inter-American Court yet to pronounce on rights protection for the unborn	230
Ten principles from the Inter-American Court ensuring rights are permanently protected	231
1. Equality before the law (Article 24)	231
2. Principle of non-discrimination (Article 1.1)	232
3. Inherency of human rights	233
4. Judicial guarantee of the right to life	233
5. The need to balance competing interests with the need to preserve the Convention’s object and purpose	234
6. The non-derogability of the right to life	236
7. Localized majorities may not pass laws in violation of universal human rights	237
8. No permissible limitation on a right may entail the total denial of that right	239
9. Interpretation must be guided by the primacy of the text	240
10. Domestic law may not be invoked to justify nonfulfillment of human rights obligations	240
Canadian Government’s ironic endorsement of the inclusive meaning of Article 4(1)	241
Chapter 12 Reclaiming Rights of the African Child at Risk of Abortion	245
Reasons for revoking new “authorising medical abortion” Protocol language	245
Abortion language irreconcilable with human rights	246
Abortion language incompatible with African values	246
Abortion language contravenes not “supplements” the African Charter	247
Medical abortion contravenes Articles 4 and 5 of the African Charter	248
Abortion language contravenes International Bill of Rights	249
Aborting children for sins of their fathers — prohibited punishment	250
Abortion of her child compounds abused mother’s tragedy	251
A child in her womb threatens the mental and physical health of the mother?	252
Evidence supports making pregnancy safer	253

	Authorization of abortion ignores legal principles of necessity and proportionality	255
	Women's Protocol i6(c) on abortion lacks logic	256
	Women's Protocol contradicts the Charter to which it purports to be a protocol	257
	Authorization of abortion violates the African Child's Human Rights Charter	257
	Authorization of abortion contrary to Declaration on the Rights of the African Child	258
	Women's Protocol spurns African values	259
	State's duty to assist mothers	259
	State's duty to ensure understanding of corresponding obligations	260
	Authorizing abortion violates human rights principle of indivisibility	261
	Protecting child's rights -the duty of individuals and the State	261
	African values: the child's right to parental care and protection	262
	Authorizing abortion — grave breach of the inalienable rights of the child	262
Chapter 13	Selective Abortion: An Act of Violence and Discrimination on Grounds of Sex	265
	Reclaiming the rights of the girl-child at risk of abortion	265
	Selective abortion — prenatal sex selection as “an act of violence” — <i>Beijing Platform for Action</i>	266
	Grave discrepancies emerging on selective abortion	267
	Serious logical inconsistencies as long as ideology prevails over truth	268
	Prenatal sex selection threatens to expose weakness of abortion arguments	269
	All selective abortions — in contravention of Rights of the Child Convention	270
	“.. girls are more likely to be killed in the womb”	271
	Parents tampering with biological laws — “a tragedy of the commons”	273
	China's one child policy exacerbates sex-selective abortion	274
	India's sex-selective abortion debacle: “...they don't want to abort their babies”	275
	Visibility and abortion violence	276
	No room for any level of legalized violence against children	277
	Selective abortion — not just sex discrimination but also “an act of violence”	278
	To decriminalize sex-selective abortion or to enforce protective legislation?	278
	Selective abortion as “an act of violence” — conceptual violence only?	279
	Emerging necessity to monitor reasons for all abortions	280
	An immovable barrier of logic and reason proscribes “abortion rights”	281
Chapter 14	Children's Rights” .. without any exceptions whatsoever”	283
	Reclaiming the right to life for children of rape or incest	283
	Protective laws against arbitrary deprivation of life for unborn children	283
	Recognition of the existence of another human being <i>in utero</i>	286
	Rape and incest — arbitrary exceptions purporting to justify ‘lawful abortion’	286
	Extreme negativity of the radical feminist view of pregnancy	289
	Provide “adequate pre-natal and post-natal care” — not more abortions	290
	UN Committee on the Rights of the Child — “prenatal care for	

children"	291
Inconsistency between "the child's' right to adequate prenatal care" and "legal abortion"	291
Discrimination on grounds of "social origin" prohibited	292
Abortion an inappropriate response to rape or incest — an other act of violence, another victim	292
Abortion — lethal punishment of the innocent	293
Aborting her child does not restore a mother's health	295
Abortion flouts legal principles of proportionality and necessity	296
Pressuring mothers to abort their children	297
Irrational prejudice transfers public censure of rape and incest to innocent children	298
The healing power of a little child	299
Conclusion	
Ideologies Must Conform to Human Rights — Not Human Rights to Ideologies	301
Decriminalization of abortion — an ideological aberration	301
When an ideology hijacks human rights	302
The Feminist Revolution and "abortion rights" — the oppressed have become the oppressors	303
UN Human Rights Committee compromised	304
Right to life of the child at risk of abortion — not "a private matter"	304
No State may resile from the human rights in the International Bill of Rights	305
Abortion "rights" — -a shameful tale of re-interpretation	305
A masterly stratagem to insinuate "abortion rights" into human rights law	306
Abortion — like FGM — always a harmful practice	308
An ideological approach — all reasons for abortion to be respected?	309
Proclamation of Teheran — not an endorsement of abortion	311
Ideological attack on conscience rights	313
Extreme feminist ideology has led to a betrayal of universal principles	314
Echoes of Nazi compliance by doctors in abortion programs	315
For radical feminists: pregnancy has become "a metaphor for disease"	316
The "ideologically unwanted" — at risk of abortion	318
Aborting children's lives "only to help" their mothers?	319
Progressive corruption of medical ethics	320
Mass abortion — re-emergence of an ideology of stigmatization and rejection	321
To delete non-derogable rights — an invalid action in human rights law	322
Treaty monitoring bodies — turning breaches of the rule of law into attempted recognition of a new law	323
Abortion 'rights' — based on cultural pragmatism, not international human rights law	324
Abortion 'rights' — "contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations"	324
New Human Rights Council — already misconceptions on abortion creeping in	325
Human Rights Council must reaffirm the deontological basis of human rights law	326
Need for reform of the treaty monitoring bodies	326
When interpretation of a treaty provision is not "in good faith"	327
Bibliography	331
Index	339